# CLINICAL REVIEW

# Fracture, Olecranon

# Indexing Metadata/Description

- > Title/condition: Fracture, Olecranon
- > Synonyms: Olecranon fracture; elbow fracture
- > Anatomical location/body part affected: Olecranon process of the ulna, distal portion of the triceps (including insertion and aponeurosis), humeroulnar joint of the elbow
- > Area(s) of specialty: Orthopedic Rehabilitation
- > Description
- Olecranon fracture (OF), usually a violent traumatic injury, is usually a two-part fracture that involves the articular surface and requires internal fixation. Surgical management with tension band wiring (TBW) or plate fixation (PF) helps to prevent joint instability and preserve elbow function<sup>(9)</sup>
- Authors of a 2016 meta-analysis found that upper extremity outcome measures (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand [DASH] and ROM) were not significantly different between OF patients treated with TBW or  $PF^{(10)}$
- Postoperative rehabilitation is generally implemented for the overhead throwing athlete recovering from  $OF^{(\underline{11})}$
- OFs account for about 7-10% of the fractures that occur at the elbow in  $adults^{(\underline{3},\underline{9})}$
- There are several different classification systems for OF. These classification systems are based on the fracture's degree of stability, displacement, and comminution; by the amount of involvement of the articular surface in the olecranon notch; or by the fracture pattern and consideration of the type of internal fixation required
  - -OFs can be classified in the following way by the Mayo classification for a transverse break:<sup>(12)</sup>
    - Type I (5% of all fractures) nondisplaced
  - Type II (80–85% of fractures) displaced with a stable humeroulnar joint indicating intact ligamentous structures
  - Type III displaced OF with unstable joint and torn collateral ligaments
  - Types I–III are further divided into A (noncomminuted fracture) and B (comminuted fracture)
- Olecranon stress fractures are small cracks in the olecranon that are primarily associated with overuse throwing injuries in athletes<sup>(21)</sup>
- > ICD-10 codes
  - M84.3 stress fracture, not elsewhere classified
  - S52.0 fracture of upper end of ulna
- (ICD codes are provided for the reader's reference, not for billing purposes)
- > Reimbursement: No specific issues or information regarding reimbursement has been identified
- > Presentation/signs and symptoms<sup>(13)</sup>
  - Pain, especially in the posterior aspect of the elbow
  - -In cases of olecranon stress fracture, pain and tenderness in the posterolateral region of the olecranon following activity (e.g., throwing, pitching)
  - Tenderness on palpation of the elbow, especially in the posterior region



Published by Cinahl Information Systems, a division of EBSCO Information Services. Copyright©2022, Cinahl Information Systems. All rights reserved. No part of this may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Cinahl Information Systems accepts no liability for advice or information given herein or errors/omissions in the text. It is merely intended as a general informational overview of the subject for the healthcare professional. Cinahl Information Systems, 1509 Wilson Terrace, Glendale, CA 91206

#### Authors

Lindsey Huber, MPT Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA

Ellenore Palmer, BScPT, MSc Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA

#### Reviewers

Amy Lombara, PT, DPT Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA

Lynn Watkins, BS, PT, OCS Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA

> Rehabilitation Operations Council Glendale Adventist Medical Center, Glendale, CA

Editor Sharon Richman, DHSc, MSPT, PT Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA

February 25, 2022

- Loss of elbow ROM, particularly in extension
- Swelling of the elbow, especially in cases of traumatic injury
- Palpable gap and large hematoma<sup>( $\underline{4}$ )</sup>
- See also *Clinical Review...Fracture, Elbow*; CINAHL Topic ID Number: T708543; *Clinical Review...Fracture, Proximal Ulna*; CINAHL Topic ID Number: T708547; and *Clinical Review...Forearm Fractures in Children: Conservative Approach* : CINAHL Topic ID Number: T904081

# **Causes, Pathogenesis, & Risk Factors**

#### > Causes

• OF may be caused by direct trauma (fall, direct blow to elbow), or indirect trauma from a fall on outstretched hand (FOOSH) while eccentrically contracting the triceps to resist elbow flexion, resulting in an avulsion fracture<sup>(3)</sup>

#### > Pathogenesis

• In fractures caused by a direct blow, the olecranon is forced into the distal humerus, often producing comminuted fractures and often with simultaneous injury to other structures of the elbow<sup>(2)</sup>

#### > Risk factors

- The risk of OF is increased in individuals participating in contact sports, especially those utilizing sticks, such as ice hockey and field hockey
- Any activity that increases risk of falling increases the risk of an OF (e.g., cycling, mountain biking, hiking, climbing)
- Participation in sports or occupational activities requiring repetitive throwing or similar upper extremity use increases the risk of an olecranon stress fracture
- Risk is increased in older adults and individuals prone to falls

# **Overall Contraindications/Precautions**

- > Individuals with an olecranon stress fracture should stop participation in or modify the activity that exacerbates symptoms. Rest is the initial treatment and may prevent worsening of the condition
- > Individuals with acute symptoms of OF should be immediately referred for physician consultation
- > For patients statuspost open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) surgery, prolonged immobilization should be avoided, as this can result in the formation of contracture and loss of ROM.Joint testing should not be performed soon after ORIF, and avoided until cleared by the surgeon
- > It is important to respect the patient's subjective complaints of pain during evaluation and treatment
- > See specific Contraindications/precautions to examination and Contraindications/precautions under Assessment/ Plan of Care

## Examination

## > Contraindications/precautions to examination

- Evaluation should not begin until physician orders are received
- Generally, ROM in postoperative patients may be evaluated after a brief period of immobilization to promote wound healing, but resisted elbow muscle strength testing should not be performed until there is radiographic evidence of sufficient bone healing. Refer to the physician's guidelines/orders and any restrictions
- If it becomes apparent during the course of an evaluation that a patient may have an OF (in a patient presenting for other reasons), refer for medical assessment immediately to rule out fracture
- Please see *Clinical Review...Fracture, Elbow*, referenced above; *Clinical Review... Fracture, Proximal Ulna*, referenced above; and *Clinical Review...Forearm Fractures in Children: Conservative Approach*, referenced above, for any contraindications and precautions specific to these topics
- > History

### • History of present injury

#### -Mechanism of injury

- Have patient describe the injury and when it occurred
- Patient may present following a traumatic event that resulted in pain, swelling, and tenderness of the posterior elbow
- Patients with small, minimally displaced fractures may present following several weeks of casting to allow union of the fracture site

- OFs are considered to be nondisplaced or minimally displaced if there is less than a 2 mm gap, the extensor mechanism is intact, and there is no displacement with elbow flexion. Fractures meeting these criteria usually receive nonoperative management. ORIF is performed if there is an open fracture, displaced fracture, or disrupted triceps extensor mechanism<sup>(3)</sup>
- Patients presenting for therapy after ORIF for displaced fractures may have an elbow brace, or a dynamic splint to control ROM without complete immobilization<sup>(3)</sup>

#### -Course of treatment

- Medical and surgical management: Treatment depends on the fracture type and severity, and may involve casting/ immobilization or ORIF
  - A variety of surgical techniques have been developed, including plate fixation, screw fixation, and stabilization with K-wires
  - TBW has been the gold standard for treatment of transverse  $OFs^{(\underline{3})}$
  - With more displaced or oblique fractures, interfragmentary screws with PF may be used. Wire protrusion and pain frequently result, which may necessitate removal of the hardware<sup>(3)</sup>
  - In comminuted OF and in cases of concomitant osteoporosis, bone defects in the metaphyseal area of the distal segment may develop due to severe impaction of the articular surface
  - Other factors (e.g., patient age and level of activity) may also influence management decisions. The authors of a retrospective case series looking at outcomes of nonoperative versus operative (TBW or PF) management of OFs found that the outcomes for patients treated nonoperatively were acceptable. Patients had "reasonable function" (QuickDASH) and low pain<sup>(6)</sup>
  - Authors of a 2019 meta-analysis concluded that there were significantly lower rates of complications and hardware removal for PF compared with  $TBW^{(\underline{14})}$
- **Medications for current illness/injury:** Determine what medications clinician has prescribed. Are they being taken? Many patients will use NSAIDs and other nonprescription analgesics for pain and inflammation following olecranon fracture/ORIF. In some cases, the surgeon may prescribe stronger medications following surgery
- **Diagnostic tests completed:** The diagnosis is usually based on standard radiographs. However, traction views and CT scans can help in complex situations such as comminuted fractures or instability. Olecranon stress fractures are often diagnosed through the use of CT scans or bone scans; CT scans often provide more detailed information about stress fractures
- Home remedies/alternative therapies: Document any use of home remedies (e.g., ice or heating pack) or alternative therapies (e.g., acupuncture) and whether they help
- **Previous therapy:** Document whether patient has had occupational or physical therapy for this or other conditions and what specific treatments were helpful or not helpful
- -Aggravating/easing factors (and length of time each item is performed before the symptoms come on or are eased): Does patient report any difficulty with handwriting or typing?
- -Body chart: Use body chart to document location and nature of symptoms
- -Nature of symptoms: Document nature of symptoms (constant vs. intermittent, sharp, dull, aching, burning, numbness, tingling). Patients commonly complain of pain and tenderness in the posterior aspect of the elbow
- -Rating of symptoms: Use a visual analog scale (VAS) or 0–10 scale to assess symptoms at their best, at their worst, and at the moment (specifically address if pain is present now and how much). The Oucher scale or FLACC pain scale may be used for very young children and nonverbal children
- -**Pattern of symptoms:** Document changes in symptoms throughout the day and night, if any (A.M., mid-day, P.M., night); also document changes in symptoms due to weather or other external variables
- -Sleep disturbance: Document number of awakenings/night, if any; use of extra pillows for positioning and support
- -Other symptoms: Document other symptoms patient may be experiencing that could exacerbate the condition and/or symptoms that could be indicative of a need to refer to physician. Skin irritation or pain around surgical hardware could be indicative of need to refer to surgeon for potential hardware removal
- -Are there any barriers to learning? Yes\_ No\_
  - If Yes, describe \_

### • Medical history

- -Past medical history
  - **Previous history of same/similar diagnosis:** If there is an olecranon stress fracture, does the patient have any other repetitive stress injuries? Is there a history of falls?
  - **Comorbid diagnoses:** Many patients may have an unremarkable medical history, especially if the fracture is the result of a traumatic injury. However, ask patient about other problems, including diabetes, cancer, heart disease, complications of pregnancy, psychiatric disorders, orthopedic disorders, and osteoporosis
  - **Medications previously prescribed:** Obtain a comprehensive list of medications prescribed and/or being taken (including OTC drugs)
  - Other symptoms: Ask patient about other symptoms they may be experiencing
- Social/occupational history
- -Patient's goals: Document what the patient hopes to accomplish with therapy and in general
- -Vocation/avocation and associated repetitive behaviors, if any: In what types of sports, leisure, and occupational activities does the patient normally participate? How frequently? Does the patient attend school or work? What is the job description? Does the patient drive?
- -Functional limitations/assistance with ADLs/adaptive equipment: What is the patient's hand dominance? Is the patient currently independent with self-care and ADLs?
- -Living environment: Stairs? Bathroom set-up?With whom does the patient live (caregivers, etc.)? Identify if there are barriers to independence in the home; any modifications necessary?
- > Relevant tests and measures: (While tests and measures are listed in alphabetical order, sequencing should be appropriate to patient medical condition, functional status, and setting.) Complete a general assessment as indicated with a focus on the items listed below
- Circulation: Distal pulses should be normal. Assess for any abnormal capillary refill or color of the fingers of the affected extremity
- Cranial/peripheral nerve integrity: The proximity of the ulnar nerve to the fracture site makes it vulnerable to injury
- Edema: Measure figure-of-8 limb circumference for swelling/edema
- Functional mobility (including transfers, etc.): Mobility may be impacted if the individual relies on the use of their upper extremities for assistance during transfers and other ADLs such as grooming, bathing, dressing, feeding, and handwriting; assess as indicated using FIM
- Gait/locomotion: Arm swing will likely be affected; assess ambulatory safety if fracture is secondary to fall
- Joint integrity and mobility: In some cases, additional injury to the elbow joint may have occurred. If the patient is postoperative for ORIF, joint testing should not be performed until cleared by physician. If the patient has not had surgery, the joint may be more fully assessed (i.e., ulnar collateral ligament and integrity of the triceps attachment). Assess joints above and below the elbow
- **Muscle strength:** Perform manual muscle testing (MMT) for bilateral upper extremities. If the patient is postoperative for ORIF, delay MMT until cleared by physician. Grip strength should be evaluated using a dynamometer. Specifically assess for weakness in the flexor carpiulnaris, flexor digitorumprofundus of the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> digits, and hand muscles to rule out

ulnar nerve compression

- **Observation/inspection/palpation** (including skin assessment): Inspect and palpate skin around incision and over surgical hardware where indicated, noting any redness, swelling, irritation, pain, or desensitization
- Posture: Assess general posture to assess for any abnormality, focusing on the shoulder, scapula, and cervical spine
- **Range of motion:** Assess bilateral active ROM(AROM) and passive ROM (PROM) of the involved upper extremity. If the patient is post ORIF, follow the restrictions set forth by the surgeon. Pronation/supination of the forearm may be impaired due to limitations of the proximal radioulnar joint in addition to elbow flexion and extension
- Self-care/activities of daily living (objective testing): May want to observe basic ADLs involving the extremity (e.g., hair brushing, donning a shirt). The Manual Ability Measure has been validated to assess functional upper extremity use in patients with both neurological and orthopedic impairments<sup>(7,8)</sup>
- Sensory testing: Perform upper extremity sensory testing for light touch and two-point discrimination. Assess proprioception and kinesthesia of the upper extremity. Sensory loss in the distribution of the ulnar nerve may result from compression of the ulnar nerve

#### Special tests specific to diagnosis

- -East Riding Elbow rule: The patient is asked to fully extend both elbows. The examiner first looks at how much extension is available in both arms and then looks for swelling/bruising and tenderness around the olecranon. If normal, this rules out the need for X-rays<sup>(1)</sup>
- -Elbow flexion test: Patient fully flexes the elbow with the wrist in extension and shoulder abducted and depressed. Position is held for 3–5 minutes. A positive test (tingling/paresthesia in ulnar distribution of the extremity) indicates ulnar neuropathy/cubital tunnel syndrome.<sup>(1)</sup> This test should not be performed postoperatively when ROM restrictions are in

effect because full elbow flexion is required to complete this test

- –Wartenberg's sign: Patient sits with hand resting on table. Examiner spreads fingers apart passively and patient attempts to adduct them. Inability to adduct the fifth digit is a positive test for ulnar neuropathy<sup>(1)</sup>
- -Ligamentous instability test: Patient's arm is stabilized at the elbow and braced above the patient's wrist with the examiner's other hand. Examiner holds patient's elbow in slight flexion. Varus and valgus forces are applied to the elbow to assess for ligament laxity or joint instability<sup>(1)</sup>
- -Have patient complete the DASH Outcome Measure
- For additional information on the DASH, see *Clinical Review...Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) Outcome measure*; CINAHL Topic ID Number: T903177

# Assessment/Plan of Care

### > Contraindications/precautions

- Clinicians should follow the guidelines of their clinic/hospital and what is ordered by the patient's physician. The summary below is meant to serve as a guide, not to replace orders from a physician or a clinic's specific protocols
- Physician's protocol for elbow immobilization does not usually preclude starting shoulder, wrist, and hand ROM or pain control modalities immediately
- Patients with this diagnosis are at risk for falls; follow facility protocols for fall prevention and post fall-preventioninstructions at bedside, if inpatient. Ensure that patient and family/caregivers are aware of the potential for falls and educated about fall-prevention strategies. Discharge criteria should include independence with fall-preventionstrategies

### • Cryotherapy contraindications <sup>(5)</sup>

- -Raynaud's syndrome
- -Cryoglobulinemia
- -Cold urticaria
- -Paroxysmal cold hemoglobinuria
- -Impaired circulation
- Cryotherapy precautions <sup>(5)</sup>
- -Hypertension cold can lead to an increase in blood pressure
- -Hypersensitivity to cold
- -Avoid aggressive treatment with cold modalities over an acute wound
- -Avoid placement over superficial nerves for extended periods (> 1 hour)
- -Cold may be counterproductive if being used to facilitate muscle relaxation and reduce pain in patients who do not tolerate the modality
- > Diagnosis/need for treatment: OF/status post ORIF or immobilization; pain, loss of ROM, impaired strength, and reduced function

#### > Rule out

- Radial fracture/radial head fracture (proximal)
- Ulnar fracture (proximal)
- Humeral fracture (distal)
- Soft tissue injuries (ligamentous, triceps)
- Ulnar nerve neuropathy
- Osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis

#### > Prognosis

• Patients with displaced OF generally do not respond well to nonsurgical treatment  $(\underline{3})$ 

- Patients treated operatively with ORIF generally have good results<sup>(<u>3</u>)</sup>
- Overall, the more complex the fracture and the more damage present to other soft tissue and neurovascular structures surrounding the elbow joint, the worse the patient's final outcome will likely be
- Degenerative changes in the elbow are more likely following OF. Instability of the original fracture, oblique and comminuted fracture morphology, and suboptimal fixation are associated with greater degenerative change
- -A long-term follow-up (average 44 months) study of 42 patients in Argentina treated with osteosynthesis for acute OFs indicated the following: (15)
  - Osteoarthritis developed in 33% of patients
  - A significant association was seen between fracture type as classified by Broberg-Morrey scale and osteoarthritis. The Broberg-Morrey scale is a 100-point system which summarizes data from the clinical record, personal interview, and biomechanics laboratory examination and classifies fractures according to points earned
- Research seems to point to good functional outcomes for older adults and medically unwell patients with conservative treatment after  $OF^{(\underline{16,17,18})}$
- -Authors of a review of the literature on displaced OFs concluded that non-operative care resulted in safe and satisfactory outcomes in older  $adults^{(\underline{16})}$
- -Authors of a 2021 systematic review comparing operative and conservative treatment of OFs found no difference in outcomes. Surgical outcomes had a high risk of need for re-operation. Results fromOFs treated with conservative treatment were functional for patients with low UE demand even when nonunion was evident<sup>(17)</sup>
- -Authors of a retrospective review of 28 Canadian older adult and unwell patients (average age 79 years) who had sustained a displaced closed OF (mean displacement 11 mm) with nonsurgical treatment reported the following at an average follow-up of 11 months:<sup>(18)</sup>
  - Average time of immobilization (sling, cast, splint) was 5 weeks
  - ROM: 86% of patients could do overhead extension against gravity whereas 7% were unable to perform active elbow extension
  - Pain: No pain was reported in 64% of patients
  - Nonunion was seen in 82% of elbows
  - The authors stated that patients can be counseled that, with nonsurgical treatment, they have a good chance of retaining overhead extension with minimal pain
- -Investigators found that diabetes mellitus, comminuted fractures, and TBW technique were risk factors for the loss of significant elbow ROM after  $OF^{(19)}$ 
  - Based on a Japanese study involving 100 patients

### > Referral to other disciplines

- Contact referring physician if there is a lack of progress (symptoms persist) with conservative or nonsurgical management, if infection is suspected following ORIF, if nonunion or failure of ORIF is suspected, if Volkmann's contracture (i.e., resulting in claw-likedeformity in hand) is suspected, or if patient has a history of falls
- Refer to occupational therapy for ADL training as indicated
- Refer to orthotist for brace management as indicated

#### > Other considerations

- In a study based on the Swedish Fracture Register 2462 OFs between 2014 and 2018 in patients over age 18, researchers reported the following:  $\frac{(20)}{2}$
- -Median age 66 years; 65% of OFs were in women
- -12% were proximal avulsion, 42% simple central, 29% comminuted central, and 16% distal OFs
- -Conservative treatment was used in 21% of patients < 65 years old and 35% in patients > 65
- -Plate fixation was used more in unstable comminuted and distal OFs

#### > Treatment summary

- For nondisplaced fractures with conservative treatment, the following is recommended:  $(\underline{13})$
- -Place in long-arm cast in approximately 60° of flexion and neutral position in forearm
- -Obtain radiographs every 2 weeks to monitor for displacement
- -Begin gentle ROM therapy at 3 weeks, avoiding elbow flexion beyond 90° with use of hinged elbow brace
- -Full, active ROM at 6-8 weeks

- Strengthening, stretching, and functional training are the main therapy interventions recommended when cleared by physician
- Primary focus is to allow restoration of the articular surfaces and to maintain triceps function (4)
- Immobilize the elbow using a posterior splint/orthosis or elbow immobilizer with elbow flexed to  $90^{\circ(\underline{4})}$
- Early ROM for pronation and supination can begin 2 to 3 days after injury. Elbow flexion and extension may begin after 2 weeks. Avoid full flexion ROM for at least 2 months<sup>(4)</sup>
- Resistive exercises should be avoided for at least 3 months  $(\underline{4})$
- In cases of ORIF, the surgeon's protocol should be followed regarding guidelines for restoring ROM, strength, and return to function
- A postoperative rehabilitation protocol is generally implemented for the overhead throwing athlete recovering from  $OF^{(\underline{11})}$
- For athletes receiving conservative treatment, a realistic period for return to sport(s) is 3 to 4 weeks after resolution of pain in aggravating activities
- Return to sport may take 6 months or more following ORIF

Problem Goal	Intervention	Expected Progression	Home Program
--------------	--------------	----------------------	--------------

Healing fracture	Promote/encourage	Elbow protection	Complete fracture	Educate patient on
	healing		healing documented via	brace or splint use
		Following ORIF, some	X-ray, CT scan, or bone	and importance of
		surgeons will use	scan	following physician's
		a brace, a dynamic		parameters; provide
		splint, or other		handouts/written
		similar equipment to		instruction as indicated
		control ROM. The		
		surgeon's protocol for		
		progression of ROM		
		and brace settings		
		should be followed		
		by the therapist; its		
		importance should		
		be emphasized to the		
		patient. Generally,		
		flexion greater than 90°		
		should be avoided for		
		the first 3–6 weeks to		
		allow for stable union		
		of the fracture to occur		
		In nononontino oppos		
		In nonoperative cases, where conservative		
		intervention is being		
		attempted (stress fracture, small		
		nondisplaced OF),		
		exacerbating activities,		
		such as throwing or		
		pitching, should be		
		avoided		
		Protection, rest, ice,		
		compression, and		
		elevation (PRICE) as		
		indicated		

Improve elbow ROM/	Therapeutic exercise	Progress as indicated	Incorporate ROM into
prevent contracture		by patient symptoms	daily home program
development	AROM is usually	and ordered by	regimen
	encouraged	physician	
	1 *		
	-		
	contracture. The patient		
	1 · · ·		
	pronation		
	Manual therapy		
	(when allowed by		
	physician). PROM to		
	increase elbow flexion		
	and extension ROM.		
	Joint mobilization,		
	as appropriate,		
	to restore proper		
	arthrokinematics.		
	Proprioceptive		
	neuromuscular		
	facilitation (PNF)		
	may be used to inhibit		
	the biceps, decrease		
	pain, and gain elbow		
	extension ROM		
	prevent contracture	prevent contracture developmentAROM is usually encouraged immediately after ORIF, although a specific allowable range may be set by the surgeon. Early AROM is encouraged to avoid loss of ROM and development of contracture. The patient should perform AROM elbow flexion/extension and forearm supination/ pronationManual therapy (when allowed by physician). PROM to increase elbow flexion, as appropriate, to restore proper arthrokinematics. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) may be used to inhibit the biceps, decrease pain, and gain elbow	prevent contracture development AROM is usually encouraged immediately after ORIF, although a specific allowable range may be set by the surgeon. Early AROM is encouraged to avoid loss of ROM and development of contracture. The patient should perform AROM elbow flexion/extension and forearm supination/ pronation Manual therapy (when allowed by physician). PROM to increase elbow flexion and extension ROM. Joint mobilization, as appropriate, to restore proper arthrokinematics. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) may be used to inhibit the biceps, decrease pain, and gain elbow

strength	In cases of conservative management, rest and avoidance of aggravating activities are considered the primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	strengthening begins 3°4 weeks postoperatively. This can be achieved through light manual resistance or with therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be gradually progressed	strengthening activities into home program once approved by physician
	management, rest and avoidance of aggravating activities are considered the primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	postoperatively. This can be achieved through light manual resistance or with therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be	once approved by
	and avoidance of aggravating activities are considered the primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	can be achieved through light manual resistance or with therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be	
	aggravating activities are considered the primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	through light manual resistance or with therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be	physician
	are considered the primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	resistance or with therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be	
	primary interventions. Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	therapy bands/tubing. The patient should be	
	Strengthening of muscles identified as weak may be	The patient should be	
	muscles identified as weak may be	-	
	as weak may be	gradually progressed	1
		to resistance training	
	performed (if approved	using dumbbells and	
	by physician), but this	weight machines. The	
	activity should be pain-	patient may perform 3	
	exacerbate symptoms		
		tolerated. The exercises	
		should always be pain-	
	Immediately following	free	
	ORIF, elbow		
	strengthening should		
	not be performed.	Strengthening of the	
		· · ·	
		-	
		10	
	1 2		
	1 0	also be pain-free	
	5		
		1.2	
		-	
	postoperatively		
		-	
		- ·	
		-	
		-	
		-	
		-	
		-	
		-	
		free and should not exacerbate symptoms Immediately following ORIF, elbow strengthening should not be performed. However, hand/grip strengthening can be performed. The use of therapy putty, hand grips, light hand weights/sticks, rubber bands, resisted clothespins, and other hand therapy equipment may all be incorporated to increase grip strength and pinch strength. Shoulder strengthening may be included. Wrist and forearm	free and should not exacerbate symptomssets of 15, increasing the weight gradually, as tolerated. The exercises should always be pain- freeImmediately following ORIF, elbow strengthening should not be performed. However, hand/grip strengthening can be performed. The use of therapy putty, hand grips, light hand weights/sticks, rubber bands, resisted clothespins, and other hand therapy equipment may all be incorporated to increase grip strength and pinch strength. Shoulder strengthening may be included. Wrist and forearm strengthening should be avoided immediatelysets of 15, increasing the weight gradually, as tolerated. The exercises should always be pain- freeStrengthening of the other musculature may also begin at this time. Biceps, forearm pronation/supination, and wrist strengthening should all begin with gentle resistance and progress, as tolerated, to increase should also be pain-freeDumbbells and therapy tubing may be used for biceps curls, with

Restrictions in daily activities and/or sport(s)	Restore prior functional capacity in daily activities and/or sports	<b>Functional training</b> In later phases of treatment, plyometric activities (trampoline medicine ball tossing), closed chain activities (wall push-ups, quadruped activities), and stabilization activities, such as a Bodyblade, may be incorporated. A return to athletics may be anticipated with reintroduction to throwing	Return to sport	Include functional tasks as appropriate
		ADL retraining may be incorporated as indicated by the patient's needs		

# **Desired Outcomes/Outcome Measures**

- > Decrease pain
- VAS or other pain assessment scale
- > Increase strength
- Hand/pinch dynamometers
- MMT
- > Improve elbow ROM/prevent contracture development
- Goniometric measurement of ROM
- > Improve functional upper extremity use
- DASH Outcome Measure
- Manual Ability Measure (MAM)
- Functional outcome scales specific to elbow, such as the Patient-Rated Elbow Evaluation (PREE) and the Broberg and Morrey elbow scale<sup>(2)</sup>

# **Maintenance or Prevention**

- > Patient should continue with home exercise program to maximize ROM, strength, and function
- > Appropriate safety equipment should be worn during participation in sports (e.g., elbow pads)
- > Fall precautions
- > The awareness of pitch counts in baseball players and avoidance of long seasons and fatigue may help prevent elbow stress injuries

# **Patient Education**

> Rabin ST. Olecranon Fractures Treatment & Management. Emedicine website <u>http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1231557-overview</u>

### **Coding Matrix**

References are rated using the following codes, listed in order of strength:

- M Published meta-analysis
- SR Published systematic or integrative literature review
- RCT Published research (randomized controlled trial)
- R Published research (not randomized controlled trial)
- C Case histories, case studies
- G Published guidelines

- ${\bf RV}~{\bf Published}$  review of the literature
- ${\bf RU}~{\bf Published}$  research utilization report
- QI Published quality improvement report
- L Legislation
- PGR Published government report PFR Published funded report

- **PP** Policies, procedures, protocols
- X Practice exemplars, stories, opinions
- GI General or background information/texts/reports
- U Unpublished research, reviews, poster presentations or other such materials
- CP Conference proceedings, abstracts, presentation

### References

- 1. Magee DJ, Manske RC. Elbow. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 7th ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2021. (GI)
- 2. Koslowsky TC, Mader K, Dargel J, Schadt R, Koebke J, Pennig D. Olecranon fracture fixation with a new implant: Biomechanical and clinical considerations. *Injury*. 2009;40(6):618-624. **(R)**
- 3. Ziran N, Irgit KA, Samimi B, Weinberg J, Smith WR. Musculoskeletal trauma surgery. McMahon PJ, Skinner HB, eds. Current Diagnosis & Treatment in Orthopedics. New York, NY: McGraw Hill; 2021. (GI)
- 4. Dutton M. Elbow. Dutton's orthopaedic examination, evaluation, and intervention. 5th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2020. (GI)
- 5. Bellew JW, Michlovitz SL, Nolan TP, Jr. Michlovitz's Modalities for Therapeutic Intervention. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: FA Davis Company; 2016. (GI)
- 6. Batten TJ, Nimesh GP, Birdsall P. Olecranon fractures: is nonoperative treatment acceptable in older patients? Curr Orthop Pract. 2016;28(1):103-106. (C)
- 7. Chen CC, Palmon O, Amini D. Responsiveness of the Manual Ability Measure-36 (MAM-36): changes in hand function using self-reported and clinician-rated assessments. Am J Occup Ther. 2014;68(2):187-193. doi:10.5014/ajot.2014.009258. (R)
- 8. Chen CC, Bode RK. Psychometric validation of the Manual Ability Measure-36 (MAM-36) in patients with neurologic and musculoskeletal disorders. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(3):414-420. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2009.11.012. (R)
- 9. Powell AJ, Farhan-Alanie OM, Bryceland JK, Nunn T. The treatment of olecranon fractures in adults. *Musculoskelet Surg.* Advance online publication. 2017. doi:10.1007/s12306-016-0449-5. (RV)
- 10. Ren YM, Qiao HY, Wei ZJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of tension band wiring versus plate fixation in olecranon fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg Res. 2016;11(1):137. (M)
- 11. Redler LH, Dines JS. Elbow Trauma in the Athlete. Hand Clin. 2015;31(4):663-81. (RV)
- 12. Sullivan CW, Desai K. Classifications in brief: Mayo classification of olecranon fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2019;477(4):908-910. doi:10.1097/CORR.0000000000614. (RV)
- 13. Dacus R, Bowman S. Proximal ulnar fractures. M.D. M, J.A. H, J.M. MK, eds. Essential Orthopedics. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020. (GI)
- 14. Koziarz A, Woolnough T, Oitment C, Nath S, Johal H. Surgical management for olecranon fractures in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Orthopedics. March 1, 2019;42(2):75-82. doi:10.3928/01477447-20190221-03. (M)
- 15. Patiño JM, Rullan Corna AF, Michelini AE, Abdon IM, Marinucci B. Olecranon fractures: do they lead to osteoarthritis? Long-term outcomes and complications. Int Orthop. November 2020;44(11):2379-2384. doi:10.1007/s00264-020-04695-7. (R)
- 16. Abdelmalek A, Crowther M. Olecranon fractures in the elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic: Is non-operative treatment reasonable? Review of the current evidence. Musculoskelet Surg. 2021;105(2):125-130. doi:10.1007/s12306-021-00699-7. (RV)
- 17. Chen MJ, Campbell ST, Finlay AK, Duckworth AD, Bishop JA, Gardner MJ. Surgical and nonoperative management of olecranon fractures in the elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Trauma. 2021;35(1):10-16. doi:10.1097/BOT.00000000001865. (M)
- 18. Aibinder WR, Sims LA, Athwal GS, King GJW, Faber KJ. Outcomes of nonoperative management of displaced olecranon fractures in medically unwell patients. JSES Int. 2021;5(2):291-295. doi:10.1016/j.jseint.2020.11.001. (R)
- 19. Fujihara Y, Ota H, Watanabke K. Factors affecting the range of motion of the elbow after open reduction of olecranon fractures. J Hand Surg Asian Pac Vol. 2021;26(1):60-64. doi:10.1142/S2424835521500090. (R)
- 20. Brüggemann A, Mukka S, Wolf O. Epidemiology, classification and treatment of olecranon fractures in adults: an observational study on 2462 fractures from the Swedish Fracture Register. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2021. doi:10.1007/s00068-021-01765-2. (R)
- 21. Greif DN, Emerson CP, Allegra P, Shallop BJ, Kaplan LD. Olecranon Stress Fracture. Clin Sports Med. July 2020;39(3):575-588. doi:10.1016/j.csm.2020.02.005. (RV)